LECTURE 12: INFLATION, MONEY GROWTH, AND INTEREST RATES See Barro Ch. 11

Trevor Gallen

Spring, 2016

Where are we? Taking stock

- 1. We just introduced money into our model.
- 2. Our big, fundamental result (that we can manipulate in various ways) is:

$$M^{s} = PL(Y, i)$$

- 3. Where M^s is money supply, P is the price level, Y is real income, and i is the real interest rate
- 4. But we don't just care about the price level: we care about inflation *π*, the change in the price level:

PRICE INDEX



DEFINITION OF INFLATION

• Net inflation π is the percentage change in the price level P

$$\pi_{t \to t+1} = \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}$$

- So if the price level goes from 1 to 1.05, the inflation rate is 0.05 (5%).
- Using our model, we can analyze four possible sources of inflation:
 - 1. M^s : changes in the money supply
 - 2. Y: changes in real income
 - 3. *i*: changes in the interest rate
 - 4. $L(\cdot, \cdot)$: other changes in the money demand function
- Which of these are reasonable to explain inflation?

How does an increase in real income effect inflation?

How does an increase in real income effect inflation?

 Increases in real money demand cause an increase in money demand (clockwise shift)

How does an increase in real income effect inflation?

- Increases in real money demand cause an increase in money demand (clockwise shift)
- This should decrease the price level over time!

- How does an increase in real income effect inflation?
 - Increases in real money demand cause an increase in money demand (clockwise shift)
 - This should decrease the price level over time!
- Increases in real income can't explain inflation

What kind of interest rate shift would cause inflation?

- What kind of interest rate shift would cause inflation?
 - Increase in interest rates cause people to demand less money, meaning prices must rise to make people demand the money that is supplied

- What kind of interest rate shift would cause inflation?
 - Increase in interest rates cause people to demand less money, meaning prices must rise to make people demand the money that is supplied
 - Interest rates haven't changed very much in last 2-3 centuries

- What kind of interest rate shift would cause inflation?
 - Increase in interest rates cause people to demand less money, meaning prices must rise to make people demand the money that is supplied
 - Interest rates haven't changed very much in last 2-3 centuries
- Increases in interest rates can't explain inflation

What kind of change in real money demand would explain inflation?

- What kind of change in real money demand would explain inflation?
 - A decrease in real money demand (counterclockwise rotation) would cause people to need less money, meaning prices must rise to make people demand the money that is supplied

- What kind of change in real money demand would explain inflation?
 - A decrease in real money demand (counterclockwise rotation) would cause people to need less money, meaning prices must rise to make people demand the money that is supplied
 - What's an example of this?

- What kind of change in real money demand would explain inflation?
 - A decrease in real money demand (counterclockwise rotation) would cause people to need less money, meaning prices must rise to make people demand the money that is supplied
 - What's an example of this?
 - Proliferation of ATMs, credit cards might cause people to require less money at any given moment in time

- What kind of change in real money demand would explain inflation?
 - A decrease in real money demand (counterclockwise rotation) would cause people to need less money, meaning prices must rise to make people demand the money that is supplied
 - What's an example of this?
 - Proliferation of ATMs, credit cards might cause people to require less money at any given moment in time
 - This is a potential candidate, but it would require a continuous decrease in money demand

- What kind of change in real money demand would explain inflation?
 - A decrease in real money demand (counterclockwise rotation) would cause people to need less money, meaning prices must rise to make people demand the money that is supplied
 - What's an example of this?
 - Proliferation of ATMs, credit cards might cause people to require less money at any given moment in time
 - This is a potential candidate, but it would require a continuous decrease in money demand
- Increases in interest rates probably can't explain inflation

What kind of change in money supply would explain inflation?

What kind of change in money supply would explain inflation?

> An increase in money supply increases the price level

What kind of change in money supply would explain inflation?

- > An increase in money supply increases the price level
- ► We've seen a continuous increase in the money supply

What kind of change in money supply would explain inflation?

> An increase in money supply increases the price level

- ▶ We've seen a continuous increase in the money supply
- Increases in the money supply are a very good candidate to explain inflation

- What kind of change in money supply would explain inflation?
 - ► An increase in money supply increases the price level
 - ▶ We've seen a continuous increase in the money supply
- Increases in the money supply are a very good candidate to explain inflation
- Let's think about the evidence

PRICE INDEX



MONEY SUPPLY



Money Supply-Indexed



- Take 30-year timespan for 110 countries
- Compare money growth with inflation
- What should this look like if this is all a money supply story?

Money Growth and Inflation: A High, Positive Correlation

Average Annual Rates of Growth in M2 and in Consumer Prices During 1960–90 in 110 Countries



Source: International Monetary Fund

Game over, we did it: inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon!

- Game over, we did it: inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon!
- Keep in mind this is only correlation

- Game over, we did it: inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon!
- Keep in mind this is only correlation
- But it's incredibly good correlation

- Game over, we did it: inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon!
- Keep in mind this *is* only correlation
- But it's incredibly good correlation
- We had a candidate, tested it. A good start. But we have more predictions!

- Game over, we did it: inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon!
- Keep in mind this is only correlation
- But it's incredibly good correlation
- We had a candidate, tested it. A good start. But we have more predictions!
- Recall monetary neutrality: inflation doesn't impact real outcomes

- Game over, we did it: inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon!
- Keep in mind this is only correlation
- But it's incredibly good correlation
- We had a candidate, tested it. A good start. But we have more predictions!
- Recall monetary neutrality: inflation doesn't impact real outcomes
- What should this look like?

- Game over, we did it: inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon!
- Keep in mind this is only correlation
- But it's incredibly good correlation
- We had a candidate, tested it. A good start. But we have more predictions!
- Recall monetary neutrality: inflation doesn't impact real outcomes
- What should this look like?
- Let's check it out

Money and Real Output Growth: No Correlation in the Full Sample . . .

Average Annual Rates of Growth in M2 and in Nominal Gross Domestic Product, Deflated by Consumer Prices During 1960–90 in 110 Countries



Source: International Monetary Fund

... But a Positive Correlation in the OECD Subsample

Average Annual Rates of Growth in M0 and in Nominal Gross Domestic Product, Deflated by Consumer Prices During 1960–90 in 21 Countries



Source: International Monetary Fund

Inflation and Real Output Growth: No Correlation

Average Annual Rates of Growth in Consumer Prices and in Nominal Gross Domestic Product, Deflated by Consumer Prices During 1960–90 in 110 Countries



Source: International Monetary Fund

We noted theoretically that money supply should be linked 1-1 with inflation

 We noted theoretically that money supply should be linked 1-1 with inflation

It is.

- We noted theoretically that money supply should be linked 1-1 with inflation
 - It is.
- We noted theoretically that inflation (and money supply) shouldn't be linked to real output growth

- We noted theoretically that money supply should be linked 1-1 with inflation
 - It is.
- We noted theoretically that inflation (and money supply) shouldn't be linked to real output growth
 - ▶ In the long run, they aren't.

- We noted theoretically that money supply should be linked 1-1 with inflation
 - It is.
- We noted theoretically that inflation (and money supply) shouldn't be linked to real output growth
 - In the long run, they aren't.
- There remains some debate about the short run (we'll get to this: Ch. 15 and Ch. 16)

$$\pi_{t \to t+1} = \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}$$

• As we noted, the definition of inflation:

$$\pi_{t \to t+1} = \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}$$

People make decisions in period t about something they expect will happen between t and t + 1.

$$\pi_{t \to t+1} = \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}$$

- People make decisions in period t about something they expect will happen between t and t + 1.
- There are naturally surprises, differences between expected and actual

$$\pi_{t \to t+1} = \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}$$

- People make decisions in period t about something they expect will happen between t and t + 1.
- There are naturally surprises, differences between expected and actual
- Therefore, we'll denote expected inflation as: $\pi_{t \to t+1}^{e}$.

$$\pi_{t \to t+1} = \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}$$

- People make decisions in period t about something they expect will happen between t and t + 1.
- There are naturally surprises, differences between expected and actual
- Therefore, we'll denote expected inflation as: $\pi_{t \to t+1}^{e}$.
- When households try to keep errors as small as possible and are able to avoid systematic errors, we call this rational expectations

$$\pi_{t \to t+1} = \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}$$

- People make decisions in period t about something they expect will happen between t and t + 1.
- There are naturally surprises, differences between expected and actual
- Therefore, we'll denote expected inflation as: $\pi_{t \to t+1}^{e}$.
- When households try to keep errors as small as possible and are able to avoid systematic errors, we call this rational expectations
- Rational expectations **does not** mean that people don't make mistakes!

Nominal and Real Interest Rates-I

- When I give up a dollar today, I don't care about how many dollars tomorrow I can get, I care about how many things I can buy. The *real* interest rate, not the *nominal*.
- Nominal contract: I give up \$1 today and get 1 + i dollars tomorrow.
- When I give up \$1 today I lose $\frac{1}{P_t}$ goods today and get $\frac{1+i}{P_2}$ tomorrow.
- Nominal interest rate is:

$$1 + i_t = rac{\text{Dollars gained tomorrow}}{\text{Dollars lost today}}$$

Real interest rate is:

 $1 + r_t = rac{\text{Consumption goods gained tomorrow}}{\text{Consumption goods lost today}}$

Nominal and Real Interest Rates-II

• Start with real interest rate and divide by $\frac{P_2}{P_1}$

$$1 + r_t = \frac{\text{Consumption goods gained tomorrow}}{\text{Consumption goods lost today}}$$
$$= \frac{\frac{\text{Dollars gained tomorrow}}{\text{Price per good tomorrow}}}{\frac{\text{Dollars lost today}}{\text{Price per good today}}}$$
$$= \frac{\text{Dollars gained tomorrow}}{\text{Dollars lost today}} \frac{\text{Price per good today}}{\text{Price per good tomorrow}}$$
$$= (1 + i_t) \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}}$$
$$= \frac{1 + i_t}{1 + \pi_t}$$

Nominal and Real Interest Rates-III

- The real interest rate is the nominal interest rate divided by the inflation rate (all in gross terms)
- Example: Say the nominal interest rate is 10% and the inflation rate is 5%.
 - If I give up \$1 and the price is \$1/good, then tomorrow I get \$1.10 and the price is \$1.05/good. I can buy 1.0476 goods if I give up 1 good today.
 - Barro and people in general also like to use the approximation:

$$r_t = i_t - \pi_t$$

Barro derives it one way, an alternative is (recall log(1 + ϵ) ≈ ϵ, for ϵ near zero):

$$(1 + r_t) = \frac{1 + i_t}{1 + \pi_t}$$
$$\log(1 + r_t) = \log\left(\frac{1 + i_t}{1 + \pi_t}\right)$$
$$\log(1 + r_t) = \log(1 + i_t) - \log(1 + \pi_t)$$
$$r_t \approx i_t - \pi_t$$

Nominal and Real Interest Rates-III

Nominal interest rates are determined by:

- People's impatience
- People's taste for risk (we're largely ignoring this)
- People's expectations about inflation

So when we set the nominal interest rate, we set it equal to:

$$i_t = r_t^e + \pi_t^e$$

How can we measure expected inflation?

 There are three common methods to measure expected inflation

- There are three common methods to measure expected inflation
 - Try to estimate it ourselves
 - Use market data

Ask people

- There are three common methods to measure expected inflation
 - Try to estimate it ourselves
 - Con: People disagree about how to estimate
 - Use market data

Ask people

- There are three common methods to measure expected inflation
 - Try to estimate it ourselves
 - Con: People disagree about how to estimate
 - Use market data
 - Con: Might be harder than you think to extract expected inflation
 - Ask people

- There are three common methods to measure expected inflation
 - Try to estimate it ourselves
 - Con: People disagree about how to estimate
 - Use market data
 - Con: Might be harder than you think to extract expected inflation
 - Ask people
 - Con: Opinion surveys are worthless

- There are three common methods to measure expected inflation
 - Try to estimate it ourselves
 - Con: People disagree about how to estimate
 - Use market data
 - Con: Might be harder than you think to extract expected inflation
 - Ask people
 - Con: Opinion surveys are worthless
- Extra credit: can which one of these is just my opinion?

MEASURING EXPECTED INFLATION WITH SURVEYS



MEASURING EXPECTED INFLATION WITH SURVEYS



MEASURING EXPECTED INFLATION WITH MARKETS



MEASURING EXPECTED INFLATION WITH MARKETS



These match up fairly well with the surveys.

Putting Money into the Business Cycle I

- ► For the rest of this lecture we'll think about how *expected* inflation will change behavior
- Our story:
 - Money supply causes inflation
 - Government prints up money, drops from helicopter to the people
 - Everyone gets an equal share
 - Most importantly, it doesn't matter if you're working or not

Putting Money into the Business Cycle II

Before, we had that:

$$i = \frac{R}{P}\kappa - \delta(\kappa)$$

Now, we have that:

$$r = \frac{R}{P}\kappa - \delta(\kappa)$$

- The real interest rate is determined by real capital returns
- But money demand still depends on the nominal interest rate:

$$\frac{M^d}{P} = L(Y, i)$$

- When the inflation rate changes, the nominal interest rate (i = r + π) will change, so money demand will change
- Consequently prices will change
- But we've seen when prices change nothing real changes (monetary neutrality)

PUTTING MONEY INTO THE BUSINESS CYCLE III

- Okay, so nothing real is changing. What about interest rates? Let's assume for now that Y isn't changing. What about i?
- Recall that:

$$P_t = \frac{M_t^s}{L(Y_t, i_t)}$$

- ▶ If M^s grows at a constant rate μ , then P_t grows at the same constant rate: $\pi = \mu$.
- Then we can write down the nominal interest rate as:

$$i = r + \pi \Rightarrow i = r + \mu$$

- When r and µ are constant, then i is constant, so L(Y, i) doesn't change.
- Therefore if we start out in equilibrium we stay in equilibrium, nothing (including real money demand) changes

Putting Money into the Business Cycle IV

- What about a trend in the real demand for money?
- Let's say that L(Y, i) increases at a rate γ while M grows at a rate μ.
- Then we can show that:

$$\pi = \mu - \gamma$$

- That is, inflation is equal to money growth minus whatever is taken out due to increased real demand for money.
- You could get this, for instance, if real GDP kept growing: then we'd see a growth in real money balances

Let's see

MEASURING EXPECTED INFLATION WITH MARKETS



Shift in the Growth Rate of Money

- Imagine since the beginning of time that the growth rate of M is μ. Then the inflation rate is π.
- \blacktriangleright Suddenly, the government changes the growth rate of μ to be $\mu'.$
- Then the (long run) inflation rate will be π' .
- But prices will see a sudden jump: why?
- Two important equation:

$$P_t = \frac{M^s}{L(Y, i)}$$
$$i = r + \mu$$

- When μ increases, *i* increases (permanently)
- ► When *i* increases, L(Y, *i*) decreases, so the level of prices change.

Money Growth



Shift in the Growth Rate of Money

- ► A shift in the money growth rate will cause a spike in prices (increase the intercept of prices over time, ceteris paribus) and an increase in the slope of prices over time.
- The slope is obvious: when the growth rate of M changes, the growth rate of P changes
- The price spike is less obvious, and comes from the fact that when the growth rate of P increases (π increases), the nominal interest rate i changes, which decreases money demand, raising the level of prices.
- It's one reason why a small expected change today can have a big impact on prices today! (and historically has)